

Representations of Hardy algebras associated with W^* -correspondences

Baruch Solel (jointly with Paul Muhly)

Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

Quantum Probability and Related Topics
Bangalore, India 2010

Outline

- 1 The Tensor and Hardy Algebras
- 2 Representations of the Tensor algebras
- 3 Representations of the Hardy algebras
- 4 Noncommutative Nevanlinna-Pick theorem

The Setup

We begin with the following setup:

- ◇ M - a W^* -algebra.
- ◇ E - a W^* -correspondence over M . This means that E is a **bimodule** over M which is endowed with an **M -valued inner product** (making it a right-Hilbert C^* -module that is self dual). The left action of M on E is given by a unital, normal, $*$ -homomorphism φ of M into the $(W^*$ -) algebra of all bounded adjointable operators $\mathcal{L}(E)$ on E .

Examples

- (Basic Example) $M = \mathbb{C}$, $E = \mathbb{C}^d$, $d \geq 1$.
- $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s)$ - a finite directed graph. $M = \ell^\infty(G^0)$,
 $E = \ell^\infty(G^1)$, $a\xi b(e) = a(r(e))\xi(e)b(s(e))$, $a, b \in M, \xi \in E$
 $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle(v) = \sum_{s(e)=v} \langle \xi(e), \eta(e) \rangle$, $\xi, \eta \in E$.
- M - arbitrary, $\alpha : M \rightarrow M$ a normal endomorphism. $E = M$
 with right action by multiplication, left action by $\varphi = \alpha$ and
 inner product $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle := \xi^* \eta$. Denote it ${}_\alpha M$.
- Φ is a normal, contractive, CP map on M . $E = M \otimes_\Phi M$ is
 the completion of $M \otimes M$ with $\langle a \otimes b, c \otimes d \rangle = b^* \Phi(a^* c) d$
 and $c(a \otimes b)d = ca \otimes bd$.

Note: If σ is a representation of M on H , $E \otimes_\sigma H$ is a Hilbert space with $\langle \xi_1 \otimes h_1, \xi_2 \otimes h_2 \rangle = \langle h_1, \sigma(\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_E) h_2 \rangle_H$.

Similarly, given two correspondences E and F over M , we can form the (internal) tensor product $E \otimes F$:

$$\langle e_1 \otimes f_1, e_2 \otimes f_2 \rangle = \langle f_1, \varphi(\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_E) f_2 \rangle_F$$
$$\varphi_{E \otimes F}(a)(e \otimes f)b = \varphi_E(a)e \otimes fb.$$

In particular we get “tensor powers” $E^{\otimes k}$.

Also, given a sequence $\{E_k\}$ of correspondences over M , the direct sum $E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus E_3 \oplus \cdots$ is also a correspondence (after an appropriate completion).

For a correspondence E over M we define the Fock correspondence

$$\mathcal{F}(E) := M \oplus E \oplus E^{\otimes 2} \oplus E^{\otimes 3} \oplus \dots$$

For every $a \in M$ define the operator $\varphi_{\infty}(a)$ on $\mathcal{F}(E)$ by

$$\varphi_{\infty}(a)(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_n) = (\varphi(a)\xi_1) \otimes \xi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_n$$

and $\varphi_{\infty}(a)b = ab$.

For $\xi \in E$, define the “shift” (or “creation”) operator T_{ξ} by

$$T_{\xi}(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_n) = \xi \otimes \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_n.$$

and $T_{\xi}b = \xi b$. So that T_{ξ} maps $E^{\otimes k}$ into $E^{\otimes(k+1)}$.

Definition

- (1) The norm-closed algebra generated by $\varphi_\infty(M)$ and $\{T_\xi : \xi \in E\}$ will be called the **tensor algebra** of E and denoted $\mathcal{T}_+(E)$.
- (2) The ultra-weak closure of $\mathcal{T}_+(E)$ will be called the **Hardy algebra** of E and denoted $H^\infty(E)$.

Examples

1. If $M = E = \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{F}(E) = \ell^2$, $\mathcal{T}_+(E) = A(\mathbb{D})$ and $H^\infty(E) = H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.
2. If $M = \mathbb{C}$ and $E = \mathbb{C}^d$ then $\mathcal{F}(E) = \ell^2(\mathbb{F}_d^+)$, $\mathcal{T}_+(E)$ is Popescu's \mathcal{A}_d and $H^\infty(E)$ is F_d^∞ (Popescu) or \mathcal{L}_d (Davidson-Pitts).

Representations

In the purely algebraic setting the representations of the Tensor algebra are given by bimodule maps. Here

Definition

Let E be a W^* -correspondence over a von Neumann algebra M . Then:

completely contractive covariant representation of E on a Hilbert space H is a pair (T, σ) , where

- 1 σ is a normal $*$ -representation of M in $B(H)$.
- 2 T is a linear, completely contractive map from E to $B(H)$ that is continuous in the σ -topology on E and the ultraweak topology on $B(H)$.
- 3 T is a bimodule map in the sense that $T(S\xi R) = \sigma(S)T(\xi)\sigma(R)$, $\xi \in E$, and $S, R \in M$.

Theorem

Given a c.c. representation (T, σ) of E on H , there is a unique c.c. representation of $\mathcal{T}_+(E)$ on H , written $T \times \sigma$, such that

$$T \times \sigma(\varphi_\infty(a)) = \sigma(a), \quad a \in M$$

$$T \times \sigma(T_\xi) = T(\xi), \quad \xi \in E.$$

Conversely, every c.c. representation of $\mathcal{T}_+(E)$ is of this form.

Note: Given a c.c. representation (T, σ) on H , one can define a contraction

$$\tilde{T} : E \otimes_\sigma H \rightarrow H$$

by $\tilde{T}(\xi \otimes h) = T(\xi)h$ and \tilde{T} has the intertwining property

$$\tilde{T}(\varphi(a) \otimes I_H) = \sigma(a)\tilde{T}, \quad a \in M.$$

Conversely: Every such map defines a representation of E .

The σ -dual E^σ

Recall: $\tilde{T} : E \otimes_\sigma H \rightarrow H$ and $\tilde{T}(\varphi(a) \otimes I_H) = \sigma(a)\tilde{T}$, $a \in M$.

Corollary

The c.c. representations of $\mathcal{T}_+(E)$ that restrict to σ on M are parameterized by maps $\eta : H \rightarrow E \otimes_\sigma H$ with $\|\eta\| \leq 1$ that satisfy

$$(\varphi(a) \otimes I_H)\eta = \eta\sigma(a), \quad a \in M.$$

Note: $\eta = \tilde{T}^*$. The reason to look at the adjoint of these maps is:

Lemma

The set of all the maps $\eta : H \rightarrow E \otimes_\sigma H$ with the above intertwining property is a W^* -correspondence over $\sigma(M)'$ with inner product $\langle \eta, \zeta \rangle = \eta^* \zeta$ and bimodule structure $b \cdot \eta c = (I \otimes b)\eta c$ ($b, c \in \sigma(M)'$). Write E^σ for it and call it **the σ -dual of E** .

Corollary

The closed unit ball, $\overline{\mathbb{D}(E^\sigma)}$ parameterizes the c.c. representations of $\mathcal{T}_+(E)$.

Examples

- (1) $M = E = \mathbb{C}$. So $\mathcal{T}_+(E) = A(\mathbb{D})$, σ is on H and $E^\sigma = B(H)$.
- (2) $M = \mathbb{C}$, $E = \mathbb{C}^d$. $\mathcal{T}_+(E) = \mathcal{A}_d$ (Popescu's algebra) and $E^\sigma = (B(H))^{(d)} : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d \otimes H$. c.c. representations are parameterized by row contractions (T_1, \dots, T_d) .
- (3) M general, $E =_\alpha M$ for an automorphism α . $\mathcal{T}_+(E) =$ the analytic crossed product.
 $E^\sigma = \{X \in B(H) : \sigma(\alpha(T))X = X\sigma(T), T \in B(H)\}$.

Ultraweakly continuous representations of $H^\infty(E)$

Question: For which $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}(E^\sigma)}$ does the corresponding representation extend to an ultra-weakly continuous, c.c. representation of $H^\infty(E)$?

Recall :

When $M = E = \mathbb{C}$, $H^\infty(E) = H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. If σ is on H , $E^\sigma = B(H)$ so $\eta \in B(H)$ and $\|\eta\| \leq 1$. Then η defines a c.c. representation of $A(\mathbb{D})$ and the question is: When does it have an H^∞ -functional calculus?

The answer: if and only if the spectral measure of the unitary part of the operator is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.

A completely contractive covariant representation (T, σ) of (E, M) on a Hilbert space H is called **isometric** in case $\tilde{T} : E \otimes H \rightarrow H$ is an isometry.

Theorem

Let (T, σ) be a completely contractive covariant representation of (E, M) on a Hilbert space H . Then there is an isometric covariant representation (V, ρ) of (E, M) acting on a Hilbert space K containing H such that if P denotes the projection of K onto H , then

- 1 *P commutes with $\rho(M)$ and $\rho(a)P = \sigma(a)P$, $a \in M$, and*
- 2 *for all $\eta \in E$, $V(\eta)^*$ leaves H invariant and $PV(\eta)P = T(\eta)P$.*

*The representation (V, ρ) may be chosen so that the smallest subspace of K that contains H is all of K . When this is done, (V, ρ) is unique up to unitary equivalence and is called **the minimal isometric dilation** of (T, ρ) .*

Remarks :

- (i) If (V, ρ) dilates (T, σ) as above, $P(V \times \rho)P = T \times \sigma$. Thus, if (V, ρ) extends, so does (T, σ) .
- (ii) **Induced representations:** Fix a faithful representation π of M on K , let $H = \mathcal{F}(E) \otimes_{\pi} K$ and define the representation of E by $\sigma(a) = \varphi_{\infty}(a) \otimes I_K$, $T(\xi) = T_{\xi} \otimes I_K$. This extends to an ultra weakly continuous representation of $H^{\infty}(E)$ by $X \mapsto X \otimes I_K$.
- (iii) If $\|\tilde{T}\| = \|\eta\| < 1$, the representation can be dilated to an induced representation. Thus extends.

The problem is with η on the boundary.

Following Davidson-Li-Pitts we define

Definition

Given a c.c. representation (T, σ) on H , we say that $x \in H$ is **absolutely continuous** if the functional $\omega_x \circ (T \times \sigma)$, on $\mathcal{I}_+(E)$, extends to a ultraweakly continuous functional on $H^\infty(E)$ and we write $\mathcal{V}_{ac}(T, \sigma)$ for the set of all the absolutely continuous vectors for (T, σ) .

Here $\omega_x(Y) = \langle Yx, x \rangle$.

Notation : Fix a faithful normal representation of infinite multiplicity π of M on K_0 and write (S_0, σ_0) the associated induced representation (on $H_0 = \mathcal{F}(E) \otimes_\pi K_0$). For a given $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}(E^\sigma)}$ (corresponding to the representation (T, σ) on H) write $\mathcal{I}(S_0, \eta)$ for the space of intertwiners:

$$\{C : H_0 \rightarrow H : CS_0(\xi) = T(\xi)C, C\sigma_0(a) = \sigma(a)C, \xi \in E, a \in M\}.$$

Theorem

If (T, σ) is an isometric representation then

$$\mathcal{V}_{ac}(T, \sigma) = \bigcup \{ \text{Ran}(C) : C \in \mathcal{I}(S_0, \tilde{T}) \}.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{V}_{ac}(T, \sigma)$ is a closed, $\sigma(M)$ -invariant subspace.

Sketch of the proof of \subseteq : Fix $x \in \mathcal{V}_{ac}(T, \sigma)$.

(i) Can find $g, k \in \mathcal{F}(E) \otimes_{\pi} K_0$ such that, for $X \in \mathcal{T}_+(E)$,

$$\langle (T \times \sigma)(X)x, x \rangle = \langle (S_0 \times \sigma_0)(X)g, k \rangle$$

and then, for $\tau := (T \times \sigma) \oplus (S_0 \times \sigma_0)$,

$$\langle \tau(X)(x \oplus g), (x \oplus (-k)) \rangle = 0.$$

- (ii) Write $\mathcal{N} = [\tau(\mathcal{T}_+(E))(x \oplus g)]$ and (R, ρ) for $\tau|_{\mathcal{N}}$.
- (iii) Using the Wold decomposition and part (i), we show that (R, ρ) is induced.
- (iv) $C_0 := P_H|_{\mathcal{N}}$ intertwines (R, ρ) and (T, σ) and can be extended to $C \in \mathcal{I}(S_0, \tilde{T})$. Also $C_0(x \oplus g) = x$.

General c.c. representations

Theorem

Let (T, σ) be a completely contractive representation of (E, M) on the Hilbert space H , let (V, ρ) be the minimal isometric dilation of (T, σ) acting on a Hilbert space K containing H , and let P denote the projection of K onto H . Then $K \ominus H$ is contained in $\mathcal{V}_{ac}(V, \rho)$ and the following sets are equal.

- (1) $\mathcal{V}_{ac}(T, \sigma)$.
- (2) $H \cap \mathcal{V}_{ac}(V, \rho)$.
- (3) $P\mathcal{V}_{ac}(V, \rho)$.
- (4) $\bigcup \{ \text{Ran}(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{I}(S_0, \tilde{T}) \}$.

In particular, $\mathcal{V}_{ac}(T, \sigma) = H$ if and only if $\mathcal{V}_{ac}(V, \rho) = K$.

Intertwiners and superharmonic elements

Given a c.c. representation (T, σ) , one defines the completely positive map associated with it, $\Phi_T : \sigma(M)' \rightarrow \sigma(M)'$ by

$$\Phi_T(b) = \eta^*(I_E \otimes b)\eta = \tilde{T}(I_E \otimes b)\tilde{T}^*$$

where $\eta = \tilde{T}^*$.

E.g.: If $\tilde{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ (a row-contraction),

$$\Phi_T(b) = \sum T_i b T_i^*.$$

Note: If $C \in \mathcal{I}(S_0, \eta)$, then $Q = CC^*$ lies in $\sigma(M)'$ and satisfies

- * $Q \geq 0$ and $\Phi_T(Q) \leq Q$, and
- * $\Phi_T^n(Q) \rightarrow 0$ ultra weakly.

Such an element will be said to be *pure superharmonic* for Φ_T .

The converse also holds: Given an element $Q \in \sigma(M)'$ that is pure superharmonic for Φ_T , define $r \in \sigma(M)'$ to be the positive square root of $Q - \Phi_T(Q)$. Then $\sum_{n \geq 0} \Phi_T^n(r^2) = Q$ (SOT). Let \mathcal{R} be the closure of the range of r and $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}} := \sigma|_{\mathcal{R}}$. Let $v : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow K_0$ be an isometry that intertwines $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}$ and π . Then set

$$C^* = (I_{\mathcal{F}(E)} \otimes v) \sum_{n \geq 0} (I_{E^{\otimes n}} \otimes r) \tilde{T}_n^*$$

where $\tilde{T}_n = \tilde{T}(I_E \otimes \tilde{T}) \cdots (I_{E^{\otimes(n-1)}} \otimes \tilde{T}) : E^{\otimes n} \otimes_{\sigma} H \rightarrow H$.
We have $C \in \mathcal{I}(S_0, \tilde{T})$ and $CC^* = Q$.

Corollary

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcup \{ \text{Ran}(C) : C \in \mathcal{I}(S_0, \tilde{T}) \} = \\ & = \bigvee \{ \text{Ran}(Q) : Q \text{ is a pure superharmonic operator for } \Phi_T \}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Let $T \times \sigma$ be a c.c. representation of $\mathcal{T}_+(E)$ on H and write $\eta = \tilde{T}^*$ for the element of $\overline{\mathbb{D}(E^\sigma)}$ associated with it. Then the following are equivalent.

- The representation $T \times \sigma$ extends to a c.c. ultra weakly continuous representation of $H^\infty(E)$.
- $\mathcal{V}_{ac}(T, \sigma) = H$
- $H = \bigvee \{ \text{Ran}(C) : C \in \mathcal{I}(S_0, \eta) \}$.
- $H = \bigvee \{ \text{Ran}(Q) : Q \text{ is pure superharmonic for } \Phi_T \}$

Arguments and partial results: Douglas (69), Davidson-Li-Pitts (05).

This theorem describes the representations of $H^\infty(E)$, whose restriction to M is given (equals σ), as a subset $AC(E^\sigma)$ of the ball $\overline{\mathbb{D}(E^\sigma)}$ containing $\mathbb{D}(E^\sigma)$. Recall that E^σ is a W^* -correspondence.

Elements of $H^\infty(E)$ as functions

Conclusion: We now view the elements of $H^\infty(E)$ as functions ($B(H)$ -valued) on $AC(E^\sigma)$. For $X \in H^\infty(E)$, we write \hat{X} for the resulting function. Thus

$$\hat{X}(\eta^*) = (\eta^* \times \sigma)(X).$$

Next, I will present an interpolation result (the noncommutative Nevanlinna-Pick theorem) for these functions (on $AC(E^\sigma)$). For the open unit ball, this was done in the case $M = \mathbb{C}$ (and others) by Popescu and by Davidson-Pitts. The general situation (on the open ball) was proved by us in 2004.

Noncommutative Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation

The classical NP theorem Given z_1, \dots, z_m in $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and w_1, \dots, w_m in \mathbb{C} , when can one find a function $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ with $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $f(z_i) = w_i$ for all i ?

Answer: If and only if the $m \times m$ matrix (the Pick matrix)

$$\left(\frac{1 - w_i \overline{w_j}}{1 - z_i \overline{z_j}} \right)$$

is positive.

Our noncommutative analogue on the **open** unit ball is the following.

Theorem

Let E be a W^* -correspondence over M , σ a faithful normal representation of M on H . For $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m \in \mathbb{D}(E^\sigma)$ and $D_1, \dots, D_m \in B(H)$, one can find $X \in H^\infty(E)$ with $\|X\| \leq 1$ that satisfies

$$\widehat{X}(\eta_i^*) = D_i$$

for all $i \leq m$ if and only if the $m \times m$ matrix of maps

$$((Id - Ad(D_i, D_j)) \circ (Id - \theta_{\eta_i, \eta_j}))^{-1}$$

defines a completely positive map from $M_m(\sigma(M)')$ to $M_m(B(H))$.

For $M = \mathbb{C}, E = \mathbb{C}^n$: Popescu, Davidson-Pitts. **Question** :What about η_1, \dots, η_m in $AC(\sigma)$?

In this case $(Id - \theta_{\eta_i, \eta_j})^{-1}$ is not defined.

Simple observation : If Φ, Ψ are positive maps such that $Id - \Phi$ is invertible then $(Id - \Psi) \circ (Id - \Phi)^{-1}$ is positive if and only if :

$$\{a \geq 0 : \Phi(a) \leq a\} \subseteq \{a \geq 0 : \Psi(a) \leq a\}.$$

The last statement makes sense even if $Id - \Phi$ is not invertible. It is related to the Lyapunov preorder studied in matrix theory. This was pointed out to us by Nir Cohen.

Theorem

Let E be a W^* -correspondence over the von Neumann algebra M and let σ be a faithful normal representation of M on H . Given $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_k \in AC(E^\sigma)$ and $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k \in B(H)$, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) There is an element $X \in H^\infty(E)$ such that $\|X\| \leq 1$ and such that

$$X(\eta_i^*) = D_i,$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

- (2) For every $m \geq 1$, $i : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ and C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m with $C_j \in \mathcal{I}(S_0, \eta_{i(j)}^*)$, we have

$$(D_{i(l)} C_l C_j^* D_{i(j)}^*)_{l,j} \leq (C_l C_j^*)_{l,j}.$$

About the proof of the NP Theorem : The proof follows the general lines of Sarason's proof (1967) of the classical NP Theorem. The main two ingredients used are:

- (1) The Commutant Lifting Theorem for c.c. representations:
Every operator that commutes with the image of the representation can be lifted to an operator that commutes with the image of the minimal isometric dilation without increasing the norm.
- (2) Identification of the commutant.

The commutant of an induced representation

Let E be a W^* -correspondence over the von Neumann algebra M and let σ be a faithful normal representation of M on H . The algebra $H^\infty(E)$ can be represented (completely isometrically, ultra-weakly homeomorphically) on $\mathcal{F}(E) \otimes_\sigma H$ by $X \mapsto X \otimes I_H$.

Write $H^\infty(E) \otimes I_H$ for the image of this representation.

Similarly, we can write $H^\infty(E^\sigma) \otimes I_H$ for the algebra $H^\infty(E^\sigma)$ represented on $\mathcal{F}(E^\sigma) \otimes_\iota H$ (where ι is the identity representation of $\sigma(M)'$ on H).

Theorem

The commutant of $H^\infty(E) \otimes I_H$ is unitarily isomorphic to $H^\infty(E^\sigma) \otimes I_H$.

Consequently (by duality), $(H^\infty(E) \otimes I_H)'' = H^\infty(E) \otimes I_H$.

Thank You !